

# **Example 2 - Greenhouse Gas PSD Applicability Example Determination Calculations**

#### **Natural Gas Compressor Stations**

Completed by: Mark Peterson, Air Resources Management Bureau

**Example Scenario 2**: New Natural Gas Compressor Station

# **STEP #1 – Identify Emitting Units**

- Three (3) 800 Brake Horsepower (bhp) Compressor Engines
- Three (3) Reboilers

(Note: Other emitting units typically exist at a natural gas compressor station but only these two emitting units are included for this example.)

#### STEP #2 – Calculate Potential to Emit (PTE)

For this example, let's assume the facility PTE for each criteria pollutant is as follows:

 $PM_{10} = 0.81$  tons per year (tpy) CO = 27.06 tpy  $NO_x = 348$  tpy  $SO_x = 0.06$  tpy VOC = 4.66 tpy

#### STEP #3 – Determine PSD Applicability for Criteria Pollutants

Based on the PTE calculations above, is the facility subject to PSD for a criteria pollutant(s)? Because the facility is not a listed source and  $NO_x$  emissions (348 tpy) are greater than 250 tpy, a PSD analysis for  $NO_x$  would be required.

# STEP #4 – Determine PSD Applicability for GHGs and Calculate PTE for GHGs

In this step we will need to calculate the potential emissions for the applicable GHGs. GHGs listed in the final rule include carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), methane ( $CH_4$ ), nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and sulfur hexafluoride ( $SF_6$ ). (Note: Some of these GHGs have a higher global warming potential (GWP) than the others so they are expressed in  $CO_2$  equivalents ( $CO_2$ e) in order to help standardize the evaluation of GHGs and determine if a facility is covered by a permitting program.)

For this hypothetical example, however, we will focus on the stationary combustion sources (e.g., as the natural gas compressor engines and reboilers) in which CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O are the GHGs that are formed during the combustion process.



In general, there two basic approaches that may be used to estimate greenhouse gases from a combustion source.

- 1.) Direct measurement (e.g., CEMS).
- 2.) Calculation based method.
  - a.) Fuel analysis approach.
  - b.) Generalized approach (e.g., emission factors).

For this example scenario, let's focus on a generalized approach using emission factors for stationary combustion sources.

# **Generalized Approach**

#### **Example Greenhouse Gas Emission Factors for Natural Gas Combustion:**

- 116.87 lb/MMBtu for CO<sub>2</sub>
- 0.011014 lb/MMBtu for CH<sub>4</sub>
- 0.000022 lb/MMBtu for  $N_2O$

(Source: Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks, April 2008. U.S. EPA.) (Note: Emission factors can likely be obtained from a variety of sources so make sure you reference and/or justify them, as appropriate.)

# **Global Warming Potentials:**

- Carbon dioxide  $(CO_2) = 1$
- Methane  $(CH_4) = 21$
- Nitrous oxide  $(N_2O) = 310$

(Source: Table A-1, Title 40, Part 98, Subpart A)

# **Miscellaneous Assumptions:**

- 1. Natural Gas Compressor Engines Fuel Consumption = 8500 Btu/hp-hr @ Maximum Design Capacity
- 2. Reboilers Fuel Consumption = 256 MBtu/hr @ Maximum Design Capacity
- 3. Natural Gas Heat Value = 1020 Btu/scf

#### **Calculations:**

The GHG emissions calculations will be completed by calculating the  $CO_2$  emissions and converting the  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  to their  $\underline{CO_2e}$  and summing the  $\underline{CO_2e}$  for each GHG.

#### **Emitting Unit #1: Natural Gas Compressor Engines**

Fuel Consumption:

800-hp \* 8500 Btu/hp-hr \* 1/1020 Btu/scf \* 1 Mscf/1000 scf = 6.67 Mscf/hr = 160 Mscf/day = 58,400 Mscf/yr = 58.4 MMscf/yr



#### Heat Produced:

 $58.4 \text{ MMscf/yr} * 1020 \text{ Btu/scf} * 1,000,000 \text{ scf/1MMscf} = 59,568,000,000 \text{ Btu/yr} = \underline{59,568} \underline{\text{MMBtu/yr}}$ 

### **Carbon Dioxide** (CO<sub>2</sub>):

 $116.87 \text{ lb/MMBtu} * 59,568 \text{ MMBtu/yr} * 1 \text{ ton/}2000 \text{ lb} = 3,480 \text{ tons/yr of CO}_2 * 3 \text{ engines} = \frac{10,443}{\text{tons/yr of CO}_2}$ 

#### Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>):

0. 0.011014 lb/MMBtu \* 59,568 MMBtu/yr \* 1 ton/2000 lb = 0.328 tons/yr CH<sub>4</sub> \* 3 engines =  $\underline{0.984}$  tons/yr CH<sub>4</sub>

Nitrous Oxide  $(N_2O)$ :

0.000022 lb/MMBtu 59,568 MMBtu/yr \*1 ton/2000 lb = 0.00066 tons/yr  $N_2O$  \* 3 engines = 0.00196 tons/yr  $N_2O$ 

# **Total GHG Emissions for Compressor Engines on a Mass Basis:**

10,443 tons/yr of  $CO_2 + 0.984$  tons/yr  $CH_4 + 0.00196$  tons/yr  $N_2O =$ **10,444 tons/yr of GHGs on a mass basis** 

#### Total Emissions of CO2e:

#### Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>):

116.87 lb/MMBtu \* 59,568 MMBtu/yr \* 1 ton/2000 lb = 3,480 tons/yr of  $CO_2$  \* 3 engines =  $\underline{10,443}$  tons/yr of  $\underline{CO_2}$ 

#### Methane (CO<sub>2</sub>e):

 $CH_4$  in tons/yr \* GWP for  $CH_4$  =  $CO_2e$  for  $CH_4$  0.984 tons/yr  $CH_4$  \* 21 =  $20.66 \frac{\text{tons/yr } CO_2e}{\text{tons/yr } CO_2e}$ 

#### Nitrous Oxide (CO<sub>2</sub>e):

 $N_2O$  in tons/yr \* GWP for  $N_2O$  =  $CO_2e$  for  $N_2O$  0.00196 tons/yr  $N_2O$  \* 310 = 0.61 tons/yr  $CO_2e$ 

# **Sum the Total CO<sub>2</sub>e Emissions**:

 $CO_2e$  emissions for the compressor engines = 10, 443 tons/yr  $CO_2 + 20.66$  tons/yr  $CH_4$  ( $CO_2e$ ) + 0.61 tons/yr  $N_2O$  ( $CO_2e$ ) = 10, 464 tons/yr  $CO_2e$ 

# **Emitting Unit #2: Reboilers**

Fuel Consumption/Heat Produced:

Reboiler Fuel Consumption = 256 MBtu/hr @ Maximum Design Capacity 256 MBtu/hr = 6,144 MBtu/day = 2,242,560 MBtu/yr = 2,242.56 MMBtu/yr



#### Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>):

 $116.87 \text{ lb/MMBtu} * 2,242.56 \text{ MMBtu/yr} * 1 \text{ ton/2000 lb} = 131.04 \text{ tons/yr of CO}_2 * 3 \text{ reboilers} = 393.13 \text{ tons/yr of CO}_2$ 

# Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>):

 $0.011014 \text{ lb/MMBtu} * 2,242.56 \text{ MMBtu/yr} * 1 \text{ ton/2000 lb} = 0.012 \text{ tons/yr CH4} * 3 \text{ reboilers} = 0.037 \text{ tons/yr CH}_4$ 

#### Nitrous Oxide $(N_2O)$ :

0.000022 lb/MMBtu \* 2,242.56 MMBtu/yr \*1 ton/2000 lb = 0.000025 tons/yr  $N_2O$  \* 3 engines = 0.000074 tons/yr  $N_2O$ 

#### Total GHG Emissions for Reboilers on a Mass Basis:

393.13 tons/yr of  $CO_2 + 0.037$  tons/yr  $CH_4 + 0.000074$  tons/yr  $N_2O =$  **393 tons/yr** of GHGs on a mass basis for the reboilers

#### **Carbon Dioxide** (CO<sub>2</sub>):

 $116.87 \text{ lb/MMBtu} * 2,242.56 \text{ MMBtu/yr} * 1 \text{ ton/2000 lb} = 131.04 \text{ tons/yr of CO}_2 * 3 \text{ reboilers} = 393.13 <u>tons/yr of CO}_2$ </u>

#### Methane (CO<sub>2</sub>e):

 $CH_4$  in tons/yr \* GWP for  $CH_4 = CO_2e$  for  $CH_4$  0.037 tons/yr  $CH_4$  \* 21 = 0.78 tons/yr  $CO_2e$ 

#### Nitrous Oxide (CO<sub>2</sub>e):

 $N_2O$  in tons/yr \* GWP for  $N_2O$  =  $CO_2e$  for  $N_2O$  0.000074 tons/yr  $N_2O$  \* 310 = 0.023 tons/yr  $CO_2e$ 

#### **Sum the Total CO<sub>2</sub>e Emissions for the Reboilers**:

 $393.13 \text{ tons/yr CO}_2 + 0.78 \text{ tons/yr CH}_4 (CO_2e) + 0.023 \text{ tons/yr N}_2O (CO_2e) =$   $393.93 \text{ tons/yr CO}_2e$ 

#### Sum the Total CO<sub>2</sub>e Emissions for the Compressor Engines and Reboilers:

# $10,464 \text{ tons/yr} + 394 \text{ tons/yr} = 10,857 \text{ tons/yr } CO_2e$

#### **Applicability PSD Analysis Overview:**

Question #1: Does this permit action result in an increase of any criteria pollutant above PSD threshold levels?

Yes, potential  $NO_x$  emissions are greater than the 250 tpy threshold so a PSD analysis for  $NO_x$  would be required.

Question #2: Does this permit action have GHG emissions above the PSD threshold on a mass basis?

Yes, the GHGs emissions on a mass basis are approximately 10,837 tpy.



Question #3: Does this permit action have CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions above the PSD threshold?

No, the CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions (10,857 tpy) were less than the 75,000 tpy CO<sub>2</sub>e threshold.

While a PSD review would be required for NO<sub>x</sub>, the answer to Questions #2 and #3 must both be "Yes" for GHGs to undergo a PSD review. Because the total CO<sub>2</sub>e for the new facility was less than the 75,000 tpy threshold for GHGs, no PSD review would be required for GHGs.

#### Title V Applicability Analysis/Overview:

Question #1: Are the potential emissions of any criteria pollutant greater than 100 tons per year?

Yes.

Question #2: Are the potential emissions of GHGs greater than 100 tons per year?

Yes.

Question #3: Are the potential emissions as CO<sub>2</sub>e greater than 100,000 tons per year?

No

If the answer to Questions #1, #2, and #3 is "Yes", a Title V permit action to address GHGs are described in the following scenarios.

- A department decision occurring before January 2, 2011, would <u>not</u> require GHGs to be addressed in the Title V permit.
- A department decision occurring after January 2, 2011, <u>must</u> address GHGs in the Title V permit.
- A department decision occurring after July 1, 2011, <u>must</u> address GHGs in the Title V permit.

If the answer to Questions #2 and #3 is "Yes", a Title V permit action to address GHGs are shown as follows:

- A department decision occurring before January 2, 2011, would <u>not</u> require GHGs to be addressed in the Title V permit.
- A department decision occurring after January 2, 2011, would <u>not</u> require GHGs to be addressed in the Title V permit.
- A department decision occurring after July 1, 2011, would require GHGs to be addressed in the Title V permit.